Central Florida (Tampa and Orlando) Health Care Market Assessment

Thank you for taking the time to complete this market assessment survey, which is being fielded by Catalyst for Payment Reform (CPR) in collaboration with the Florida Alliance for Healthcare Value (the Florida Alliance).

Your participation will help analyze the best opportunities to implement innovative models of healthcare payment to improve the value of healthcare spending in Tampa / Orlando. CPR and the Florida Alliance will not attribute your responses to you nor your organization, but will aggregate your response across stakeholder groups.

If you have any questions about the survey, please contact Karen van Caulil, President and CEO of the Florida Alliance at karen@FLHealthValue.org or (407) 425-9500.

Please select one of the following choices regarding your response.
(For the purposes of this survey, Polk County should be considered in the Tampa market.)
O I am responding solely for Tampa
I am responding solely for Orlando
O I am responding for both Tampa and Orlando
Please provide your name and email address below.
Individual survey responses will remain confidential and will be reported only in
aggregate. However, we ask that you provide your name and e-mail so that we can track who has
completed the survey, and so that we can follow up with questions as needed.
O Name
O Email

For the purposes of this survey, **payment reform** refers to a range of healthcare payment models that use payment to promote or leverage greater value for patients, employers, health plans, and providers.

Unless otherwise specified, please respond with a pre-COVID-19 perspective.

Oriando among:	Uninvolved	Marginally involved	Moderately involved	Very involved
Individual public and private employers	0	0	0	0
Health plans acting on behalf of their fully insured business	0		0	0
Physicians		\circ	\circ	\circ
Hospitals/hospital systems	0	0	\circ	\circ
Regulatory/legislative bodies		\circ	\circ	\circ
		plan engagement in mul sed on payment reform o		
	Unengaged	Marginally engaged	Moderately engaged	Very engaged
Past focus/activity	0	0	0	0
Current focus/activity and plans for future	0		0	\circ

Please indicate your perception of the level of involvement in payment reform efforts in Tampa /

What percentage of your current reimbursement to providers is:

	Fee-for-service
95% or more	0
75-94%	0
50-74%	
0-49%	0

Please describe the degree to which you have employed the following tactics to drive change in patient (member) behavior:

(member) benavion	Not at all	Minimally	Moderately	Largely
Transparency on provider quality	0	0	0	0
Transparency on provider cost or efficiency	\circ	0	\circ	0
Tiered networks	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ
Limited (narrow) networks	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Value-based insurance design	\circ	0	\circ	\circ
Wellness incentives	\circ	0	\circ	0
Reference Pricing	\bigcirc	\circ	\circ	\circ
Centers of Excellence	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Bundled or Episode Payments	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ

Please indicate the degree to which hospitals in Tampa / Orlando have the capability to handle new forms of payment:
O Not at all
O Minimally
O Marginally
○ Very
Do you think the level of hospitals' readiness and/or capability to handle new forms of payment has changed due to COVID-19?
O Increase in readiness and/or capability
O Decrease in readiness and/or capability
Readiness and/or capability will stay about the same
Please indicate the degree to which your organization has participated in any reforms to the feefor-service payment system as described below (e.g. implementation of nonpayment and/or reporting fo adverse events, use of supplemental payments to primary care physicians for care coordination or other previously nonreimbursed services, use of reference pricing for treatments and/or procedures, etc.):
Do you think the degree to which your organization has or will participate in any reforms to the fee-forservice payment system will change due to COVID-19?
O Increase in participation
O Decrease in participation
Participation levels will stay about the same

	0-10%	11-25%	26-50%	51-100%
Payfor- Performance	0	0	0	0
Patient Centered Medical Homes	0	0	0	0
Shared Savings	0	\circ	\circ	0
Shared Risk	0	\circ	\circ	0
Global Payments	0	\circ	\circ	0
Bundled Payments	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ
Accountable Care Organizations	0	0	\circ	0
Has your organization g financial performance?	•	support to providers	to help them monito	r their clinical or
	None	Few	About half	Most
The proportion of your network providers that receive such support	0	0	0	0
Is your health plan or th		know about in the r	narket able to custom	ize payment
O Yes				
○ No				

Do you view the regular payment reform effort		of the State of Florida	a as a benefit or barrie	r to privatesector
	Not at all	Marginally	Moderately	Largely
Benefit	0	\circ	\circ	\circ
Barrier	\circ	0	0	0
Please describe your re	esponse.			
Where does the balance	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	·		
purchasers, and provide payment reform.	ders/nospitals? Sele	ect who has the great	est power or influence	when it comes to
O Health Plans				
C Employers/ He	ealthcare purchaser	rs		
O Providers/ Hos	spitals			
Do you think the balan	nce of power will ch	nange due to COVID-1	.9? Explain.	
What do you think are	the biggest barrier	rs to payment reform	in Tampa / Orlando?	

What do you think are the next best opportunities for payment reform in Tampa / Or	lando?
Are there any payment reform arrangements your organization plans to roll out in Ta the near future?	mpa / Orlando in
The Florida Alliance is one of 8 regional coalitions that the National Alliance of Health Coalitions selected to participate in "The Path Forward for Mental Health and Substan Initiative." In support of this initiative, please respond to the following questions reg Behavioral Health.	nce Abuse
Do clinicians in your organization's commercial network use a validated standardized rating scales to drive treatment decisions and to monitor progress on outcomes?	instrument or
○ Yes	
○ No	
If not, why?	

to the psychiatric collaborative care management [CoCM] model and/or behavioral health integration [BHI] models other than CoCM? CoCM codes: CPT codes 99492- 99494. Services include CPT codes for interprofessional telephone/internet assessment and management service provided by a consultative physician, including a verbal and written report to the patient's treating/requesting physician or other qualified healthcare professional. O Yes If not, why? Is the health plan providing any type of support or technical assistance (such as EMR integration) to providers to start billing the codes? () Yes Please describe. Has the health plan encountered any issues when attempting to build out its mental/behavioral health network? If yes, what are the issues? How does the plan measure mental/behavioral health network adequacy?

For the plan's commercial book of business, does the plan promote and reimburse for services related

the plan has not taken any steps, why not?
as COVID-19 impacted or changed the way the plan promotes, reimburses, and/or contracts for ental/behavioral health care services? Briefly describe.
o you anticipate the impact of COVID-19 on the way the plan promotes, reimburses, and/or contractor mental/behavioral health care services will result in lasting changes to these practices? Briefly explain.